

BUILDING A SALES GROWTH ENGINE

Brogue's Workbook — The Complete Worked Example

Every worksheet, every score, every answer — the companion workbook completed end to end by Brogue Industrial Staffing, the fictional demonstration firm from the book: \$12 million in revenue, offices in Greenville and Charlotte, six salespeople, and clients in mid-size Southeast manufacturing and distribution. Read it like a finished copy, then write yours.

growthenginebook.com/workbook

Companion to Building a Sales Growth Engine by David Searns and Victoria Kenward.

Brogue's Engine Scorecard — Baseline

The front-of-workbook self-assessment, scored before any module work began. Lowest scores point to the lane.

Foundation	<i>3.4 — our strongest component; consistency is the gap</i>
Website Optimization	<i>2.6 — a brochure that fails the five-second test</i>
Sales Enablement	<i>3.1 — a campaign on paper, run ad hoc</i>
Buyer Enablement	<i>2.1 — buyers who research us find a vacuum</i>
Market Attraction	<i>2.4 — invisible in AI answers; referrals carry us by accident</i>
Nurturing	<i>1.8 — LOWEST. 1,900 quiet contacts. This is the lane.</i>
Automation	<i>2.9 — capable platform, a third used</i>
Our priorities	<i>Weakest: Nurturing. Most pipeline impact if strengthened: Nurturing, with Buyer Enablement next.</i>

Module 4 — Foundation

Messaging That Sells

Module score: 3.4 · Functional

B · Assess — scored line by line

Element	Score	Biggest gap / note
Market Focus (ICP)	4	<i>Written and real — we live it</i>
Positioning	4	<i>The workforce-stability aisle is ours; not yet everywhere on the site</i>
Differentiators (3 to 5)	4	<i>Three survive the competitor test with numbers</i>
Value Proposition	4	
Core Story	3	<i>David tells it well; the reps each tell a different version</i>
Proof Assets	3	<i>Numbers exist; only two are packaged where buyers can see them</i>
Offer	3	<i>Workforce cost analysis works; secondary offer is new</i>
Messaging Consistency	2	<i>Asked all six reps 'what makes us different' — got four answers</i>

Turn the score into a plan

My lowest-scoring element	<i>Messaging consistency, a 2.</i>
What is missing or unclear there	<i>Nothing is written down. Everyone improvises from memory, so the story drifts.</i>
One thing I can clarify this week (quick win)	<i>One-page Foundation sheet: aisle, three differentiators with numbers, the we-help statement. Print it, tape it to six monitors.</i>
One thing that needs a 90-day build	<i>Package the proof: Cornerstone case study written, show-up-rate methodology page live.</i>
My Foundation average today	<i>3.4 — Functional. Carried to the Engine Scorecard.</i>

C · Build — the worksheets, filled

Element 1 · Market Focus (your ICP)

Industry or vertical	<i>Food & beverage and general manufacturing; distribution centers second.</i>
Company size (revenue or headcount)	<i>200 to 1,000 employees; typically \$30M–\$250M plants.</i>
The buyer role you sell to	<i>Plant manager or operations director decides; HR manager influences and runs the process.</i>
The trigger event that starts a search	<i>A turnover spike threatening production targets — usually second shift, usually peak season.</i>
The top pain you solve for them	<i>Unplanned downtime from labor gaps and no-shows.</i>
Your proof you serve them well	<i>92% show-up rate; 88% 90-day retention vs. 72% industry average.</i>

The one client we would clone	<i>Cornerstone Foods — 640 employees, three shifts, values stability over rate, treats us as part of the operation.</i>
The company you serve (ideal client)	<i>Mid-size Southeast manufacturers, 200–1,000 employees, within 90 minutes of Greenville or Charlotte, running shifts that hurt when seats sit open.</i>

Element 2 · Positioning (the aisle you own)

The aisle we are in today (buyer's words)	<i>"One of the temp agencies we use."</i>
The aisle we want to own	<i>The workforce-stability partner for Southeast manufacturers.</i>
Why that aisle (the tradeoff we accept)	<i>We give up the transactional, rate-shopping buyer. We will lose deals on price and win them on downtime. Accepted.</i>

Element 3 · Differentiators (claim, mechanism, proof)

Claim 1	<i>We care about quality → dedicated account recruiters who only work your roles → 88% 90-day retention vs. 72% industry.</i>
Claim 2	<i>Our people show up → pre-vetted pool with show-up testing before assignment → 92% show-up rate.</i>
Claim 3	<i>We reduce downtime, not just fill seats → demand forecasting plus monthly attendance reporting → clients typically cut labor-gap downtime 30–40% in six months.</i>
Claims we cut	<i>"Great service" and "we know staffing" — any competitor can say both. Gone.</i>

Element 4 · Value Proposition (outcomes, not services)

The 2 to 3 outcomes we deliver best	<i>Fewer open seats on hard shifts; less unplanned downtime; supervisors freed from chasing workers.</i>
We help (which ICP) achieve (which outcome)	<i>manufacturing operations in the Southeast reduce unplanned downtime caused by labor gaps</i>
typically (which result we can prove)	<i>by 30 to 40 percent in the first six months, while keeping overtime costs in check.</i>

Element 5 · Core Story (before, bridge, after)

Before	<i>A manufacturer kept missing production goals — not from a lack of orders, but from open seats, overtime fatigue, and quality slips.</i>
Bridge	<i>Instead of sending resumes one opening at a time, we forecast needs, tightened screening, tracked performance, and adjusted as demand changed.</i>
After	<i>Fewer gaps, faster response, better</i>

	<i>attendance, lower turnover — and supervisors running the operation instead of chasing workers.</i>
Who tells it	<i>Everyone, the same way. It goes on the Foundation one-pager this week.</i>

Element 6 · Proof (the evidence behind the promise)

Our two strongest proof points	<i>1) 92% show-up rate, measured across the whole pool, methodology documented. 2) Cornerstone Foods: downtime down, attendance up, supervisors supervising again.</i>
---------------------------------------	--

Element 7 · Offer (what makes the right buyer raise a hand)

Primary offer	<i>Free 30-minute workforce cost analysis for plants running heavy overtime.</i>
Secondary offer	<i>Southeast light-industrial salary guide, updated quarterly; cost-of-vacancy calculator on the website.</i>

D · Quick Wins

Quick win 1 — asked a client why they buy	<i>Called Cornerstone's plant manager. His words: "You're the only vendor whose people actually show up on a Friday night shift." That line is going in the one-pager.</i>
Quick win 2 — the five-rep test	<i>Asked all six sellers our difference. Four different answers, two shrugs. Case closed on why messaging consistency scored a 2.</i>
Quick win 3 — claims audit	<i>Crossed out every claim on our site a competitor could also make. What survived: the three with numbers. The homepage rewrite list is now obvious.</i>

F · Done Check

- ☒ An ICP exists, specific enough that some prospects are OUT (we now say no to single-shift job shops under 150 heads)
- ☒ Positioning survives the "could a competitor say this?" test
- ☒ Three differentiators, each with a mechanism and a number
- ☒ A value proposition a client recognized as true (read it to Cornerstone — he nodded)
- ☒ One core story everyone tells the same way (on the one-pager)
- ☒ A primary and secondary offer, live on the website

Re-scored and carried to the Engine Scorecard: 3.4.

Module 5 — Website

Turn Attention Into Action

Module score: 2.6 · Underdeveloped

B · Assess — scored line by line

Element	Score	Biggest gap / note
Homepage clarity (5-second test)	2	Header says 'Staffing Solutions That Work' — could be anyone
Differentiation above the fold	2	No numbers above the fold
Proof visible without scrolling	2	Reviews live only on Google
Employer content depth	3	Services listed; real questions unanswered
Candidate experience	3	Apply flow is 15 minutes, four screens
Routing by visitor type	3	
Transparency content	1	No pricing context, no process page
Proof of life	3	Jobs current; last blog post 14 months ago
Multiple capture mechanisms	3	Request-talent form plus phone; nothing early-stage
Friction assessment	3	Request form asks 11 fields
Intent-calibrated offers	2	One offer fits nobody browsing
Follow-up process	3	Whoever sees the email first
Tracking configuration	3	GA4 installed, conversions not defined
Visitor identification	2	None

Turn the score into a plan

The phase with the most gaps	Research. A buyer who wants to check us out finds a brochure, not answers.
The one change with the most immediate impact	Rewrite the homepage header to the clarity headline and put the 92% above the fold.
The follow-up process to build or fix first	Request-talent form: instant acknowledgment, recruiter call within one business hour, branch lead owns it, tested weekly.
My Website average today	2.6 — Underdeveloped. Carried forward.

C · Build — the worksheets, filled

Builder 1 · Baseline the data

Monthly visitors	3,800
Top traffic source	Organic search — mostly job-title queries, not employer queries
Top entry (doorway) page	A forklift-operator job posting
Worst exit page	The services page (61% leave from it)
Form submissions per month	9
Bounce rate (staffing averages around 60%)	61%

Builder 2 · Win the first impression

Clarity headline	<i>Industrial staffing that cuts labor cost for Southeast manufacturers. (Not: 'Staffing Solutions That Work.')</i>
Proof we will show above the fold	<i>92% show-up · 88% vs 72% retention · a recruiter calls within one business hour</i>
Employer path label and its call to action	<i>Employers → Request talent (two fields, thirty seconds)</i>
Job-seeker path label and its call to action	<i>Job seekers → Find your next role (one-screen express apply)</i>

Builder 3 · Answer the questions buyers research

Buyer question 1	<i>How do I reduce second-shift no-shows? → the pre-start sequence article with our actual messages</i>
Buyer question 2	<i>What does light-industrial staffing cost in the Southeast? → pricing-context page with the range and its drivers</i>
Buyer question 3	<i>How hard is it to switch vendors? → the switching guide with the transition timeline</i>

Builder 4 · Calibrate the conversion paths

Early-stage offer (just exploring)	<i>Cost-of-vacancy calculator + the quarterly salary guide</i>
Mid-stage offer (comparing options)	<i>Free 30-minute workforce cost analysis</i>
Ready-to-act offer (wants to talk)	<i>Two-field request-talent form + click-to-call</i>
Ways to respond we will add	<i>Short form, click-to-call on mobile, direct calendar booking on the analysis page</i>

Builder 5 · Serve two audiences

Employer path: the proof we lead with	<i>Fill-rate and show-up metrics plus the client logo wall</i>
Candidate path: the biggest apply-process fix	<i>The 15-minute application becomes one-screen express apply</i>
One site or two? Our decision and why	<i>One site, hard routing at the top. Two sites is maintenance we won't sustain.</i>

Builder 6 · Define follow-up before anything goes live

Request-talent form	<i>Instant auto-acknowledgment → recruiter call within 1 business hour → owner: branch lead → tested weekly with a dummy submission</i>
Cost analysis booking	<i>Calendar-confirmed; AM prepares from the three intake fields</i>
Calculator / salary-guide downloads	<i>Enter nurture (monthly email); no sales call unless they ask — that's the deal we make on the page</i>

D · Quick Wins

Quick win 1 — five-second test	<i>Ran it on three people. Zero could say who we serve. One guessed 'IT recruiting?' The old header died that afternoon.</i>
Quick win 2 — form-fill test	<i>Submitted our own request-talent form on a Tuesday at 2pm. First human response: 9 hours. That number is now taped next to the 1-hour target.</i>

F • Done Check

- ☒ Homepage passes the five-second test (re-ran with two new people — both said 'industrial staffing, Southeast')
- ☒ Proof above the fold
- ☒ Three buyer questions answered publicly
- ☒ Three offers calibrated to intent
- ☒ Follow-up defined and owned for every capture point
- ☒ Baseline recorded so we can see movement (3,800 / 9 forms / 61%)

Re-scored and carried to the Engine Scorecard: 2.6.

Module 6 — Sales Enablement

A Systematic Process for Selling

Module score: 3.1 · Functional

B · Assess — scored line by line

Element	Score	Biggest gap / note
Cold-outreach campaign (12 to 16 steps)	3	<i>A 14-touch plan exists on paper; run ad hoc</i>
Voicemail scripts by step	2	<i>Reps wing it</i>
Email templates by step	3	<i>Half the steps have templates</i>
LinkedIn templates by step	2	
Direct mail integration	2	<i>Used once, no trigger</i>
Re-engagement sequence	2	<i>Nothing fires when a prospect stalls</i>
Past-client reactivation campaign	1	<i>Our biggest hole — 1,900 quiet contacts</i>
Placed-talent reconnection campaign	1	<i>Never tried; placed candidates become hiring managers</i>
Candidate experience sequences	3	
CRM with automation	4	<i>Platform is capable; we use a third of it</i>
Collateral library by audience and pain	3	<i>Exists in a shared drive nobody can navigate</i>
Intent and engagement alerts	2	
Video and video email	1	<i>Not started</i>
Proposal management system	3	
AI sales enablement	2	<i>Individual experiments only</i>
Onboarding for new reps	2	<i>Ride-alongs and good luck</i>
Meeting cadence	4	<i>Daily huddle sticks; monthly meet-up drifts</i>
Call and email coaching	3	
Objection-handling training	3	<i>Tribal knowledge, not written</i>

Turn the score into a plan

The process gap costing the most pipeline	<i>No re-engagement: prospects who stall just evaporate. Second: 1,900 past contacts hearing nothing.</i>
The tool a new rep needs most on day one	<i>The 14-touch campaign loaded in the CRM with templates per step.</i>
The training conversation not had yet	<i>Objection handling — writing down the top five answers instead of hoping reps absorb them.</i>
My Sales Enablement average today	<i>3.1 — Functional. Carried forward.</i>

C · Build — the worksheets, filled

Builder 1 · Tier your accounts

Tier 1 accounts and strategy	<i>Acme Foods (turnover spiking, three buyers — coordinated ABM play); Piedmont Container (expansion announced — analysis</i>
-------------------------------------	---

	<i>offer to the ops director); Carolina Cold Chain (current client, two untouched sites — expansion play through our champion).</i>
Tier 2 accounts	<i>Beta Logistics plus 14 good-fit plants with unclear timing — structured 14-touch sequence, then nurture.</i>
Tier 3 accounts	<i>The remaining ~120 possible-fit list — marketing-first, monthly email, quarterly check for triggers.</i>

Builder 2 · Build the IDM campaign

Audience and objective	<i>Former clients first: reconnect and reopen. Then cold tier-1 plant managers.</i>
Channels in the mix	<i>Calls, email, LinkedIn, one mailed piece at touch 6.</i>
Number of touches and over how long	<i>14 touches over 8 weeks, each tied to a ready asset.</i>
Who owns the sequence in the CRM	<i>Sales manager builds and owns it; reps execute the personal touches.</i>

Builder 3 · Standardize the first conversation

Opening	<i>Tie to their trigger: 'You've had three operator postings up for six weeks — that usually means the shift math has stopped working.'</i>
Top five discovery questions	<i>1) Which shift hurts most right now? 2) What's your no-show rate on it? 3) What does an open seat cost you per week — have you priced it? 4) What does your current vendor do when someone doesn't show? 5) Who besides you feels this pain — HR, plant GM?</i>
The next-step ask	<i>A workforce cost analysis, scheduled before the call ends.</i>
What advances the deal	<i>Analysis booked with a date = qualified. Analysis delivered + plant walk scheduled = proposal stage.</i>

Builder 4 · Audit the tool stack

CRM and automation	<i>Have — underused. Fix: load the campaign, turn on step reminders.</i>
Sales collateral	<i>Rebuild library by audience and pain; Cornerstone case study is priority one.</i>
Calculators	<i>Cost-of-vacancy calculator — live on the site, reps use it in calls.</i>
Drop-off and promo items	<i>The mailed piece at touch 6: a printed one-page 'cost of an open seat' card. Nothing else.</i>
Business intelligence and data	<i>State manufacturers directory + the association member list.</i>
Communication and engagement	<i>Add website intent alerts (pricing-page visits route to reps).</i>
Proposal software	<i>Keep current tool; add the day-3/7/14 follow-up sequence.</i>

AI sales enablement	<i>After the AI brief exists (Module 11): draft touches, summarize calls into CRM.</i>
----------------------------	--

Builder 5 · Training and coaching

New-rep milestones	<i>Day 30: shadow and learn the campaign. Day 60: run it with coaching. Day 90: run it solo and own a Tier 2 list.</i>
Top five objections and where responses live	<i>'We have a vendor list' / 'Your rate is higher' / 'We tried temps, they don't show' / 'Call me after peak season' / 'HR handles that' — answers written, in the collateral library, with proof attached.</i>
Coaching cadence	<i>One recorded call reviewed per rep per week, 20 minutes, sales manager.</i>

Builder 6 · Install the rhythm

Our KPIs	<i>Touches per rep, analyses booked, proposals with a next step, stage conversion, response time.</i>
Meeting cadence	<i>10-minute daily huddle; 30-minute weekly pipeline review; monthly win-loss.</i>
How win-loss feeds back	<i>Monthly review updates the objection sheet and the campaign templates — findings feed a monthly collateral update.</i>

D · Quick Wins

Pipeline review — what it surfaced	<i>Of 28 open opportunities, 11 had no defined next step and 6 hadn't been touched in 30+ days. The re-engagement gap isn't theoretical; it's a third of the pipeline. Fixed the six on the spot, built the stall-trigger into the CRM the same week.</i>
---	---

F · Done Check

- ☒ Accounts tiered with a strategy per tier
- ☒ The 14-touch IDM campaign built in the CRM with templates per step
- ☒ First-call framework written and used by all six sellers
- ☒ Collateral library reorganized by audience and pain
- ☒ New-rep 30/60/90 path documented
- ☒ KPIs and cadence running two weeks straight

Re-scored and carried to the Engine Scorecard: 3.1.

Module 7 — Buyer Enablement

Sell Before the First Call

Module score: 2.1 · Underdeveloped

B · Assess — scored line by line

Element	Score	Biggest gap / note
ClearlyRated or equivalent	2	<i>Not enrolled; Google only</i>
Google Business reviews	3	<i>23 reviews, 4.4 — mostly candidates, few clients</i>
Review response practice	2	<i>Sporadic</i>
Niche platform presence	1	
How we work explanation	1	<i>Nothing public</i>
Onboarding and timeline content	1	
Guarantee or service standard	2	<i>First-week guarantee exists — buried in contracts</i>
What we need from you	1	
Pricing context or range guide	1	<i>Zero pricing content; every competitor also at zero — open aisle</i>
Cost-of-vacancy or ROI calculator	3	<i>Building it on the site now</i>
How to evaluate a proposal	1	
Buying guide for your specialty	1	
Vendor comparison framework	1	
Case studies with specific outcomes	2	<i>Cornerstone story exists verbally; not written</i>
Industry-specific guides	2	<i>The salary guide, quarterly</i>
Salary or market benchmarking	3	
Webinars or video	1	
Vendor switching guide	1	
Transition process explanation	1	
Objection-handling content	2	<i>Lives in reps' heads</i>

Turn the score into a plan

The question buyers most ask that content could answer	<i>'What will this actually cost me?' — asked on nearly every first call.</i>
The biggest gap when a buyer searches us today	<i>They find jobs and a brochure. No process, no pricing, no proof. The vacuum gets filled by whoever answers.</i>
The one asset worth building this quarter	<i>The Working With Us page: process, timeline, guarantee, pricing context — one page, all of it.</i>
The client to ask this week	<i>Cornerstone's HR manager: what did you search before you first called us? (Answer, for the record: 'temp agency reviews Greenville' — reviews carried us.)</i>
My Buyer Enablement average today	<i>2.1 — Underdeveloped. Carried forward.</i>

C · Build — the worksheets, filled

Builder 1 · Inventory the buyer's questions

Top discovery questions	<i>How do I reduce no-shows? What does staffing cost per hour, really? Temp vs. temp-to-hire — which fits a production line?</i>
Top evaluation questions	<i>What's your show-up rate and how do you measure it? What's your guarantee? Who else like us do you serve?</i>
Top action questions and objections	<i>How hard is switching vendors? What do you need from us to start? What if the person doesn't work out?</i>

Builder 2 · Win discovery with how-to content

How-to topic 1 and its takeaway	<i>Cutting first-week no-shows: the three-touch pre-start sequence we use, with the actual messages.</i>
How-to topic 2 and its takeaway	<i>Pricing an open seat: how to compute your cost of vacancy (feeds the calculator).</i>
How-to topic 3 and its takeaway	<i>Second-shift coverage without burning out first shift: the pre-vetted pool approach.</i>

Builder 3 · Win evaluation with proof and transparency

Review platforms and our target count	<i>ClearlyRated enrollment this quarter; Google to 40 reviews with at least 15 from client contacts.</i>
The five process questions we will answer publicly	<i>How onboarding works (5 steps) · what it costs (range + drivers) · the guarantee · what we need from you · how we report attendance monthly.</i>
Our pricing-context approach	<i>Ranges with drivers, not exact rates: what moves a markup up or down. Honest beats vague.</i>

Builder 4 · Build comparison content

The comparison or buying-guide topic	<i>How to evaluate a light-industrial staffing firm — the eight questions that separate vendors, including ones where we're not the best answer.</i>
The trade-offs we will explain honestly	<i>Big national = breadth and price leverage; niche local = speed and stability. If you need 300 heads in five states, we're not your firm — and we say so.</i>
Format and where it will live	<i>Web guide + printable PDF; linked from the Working With Us page. Facts only, no competitor names, apples-to-apples.</i>

Builder 5 · Remove the last obstacle

Switching guide outline	<i>The transition timeline week by week · what we handle vs. what you handle · how continuity is protected (parallel run) · the one</i>
-------------------------	---

	<i>low-friction next step.</i>
Top five objections and where answers live	<i>The written objection sheet doubles as public FAQ content — same five, same honest answers.</i>
The low-friction next step	<i>The workforce cost analysis: 30 minutes, your numbers, no pitch. It's the ask everywhere.</i>

Builder 6 · Add self-service tools

The self-service tool we will build first	<i>Cost-of-vacancy calculator.</i>
What the buyer puts in, and gets out	<i>Role, wage, days open → the monthly cost of the empty seat, assumptions visible.</i>
Where it lives and what it captures	<i>On the site, no email wall for the number; a soft offer to help close the seat afterward. Email only if they want the analysis.</i>

D · Quick Wins

Quick win 1 — searched ourselves	<i>Googled 'Brogue staffing reviews' like a buyer. Page one: our jobs, a directory listing, one 3-star candidate review from 2023. That's our first impression. Review push started same day.</i>
Quick win 2 — asked a client	<i>Cornerstone's HR manager searched 'temp agency reviews Greenville' before calling. Reviews are our front door whether we like it or not.</i>

F · Done Check

- ☒ Buyer questions inventoried across all three stages
- ☒ Three how-to pieces planned with real takeaways
- ☒ Review program running (ClearlyRated enrolled, ask-flow after each placement month)
- ☒ Working With Us page live: process, timeline, guarantee, pricing context
- ☒ Switching guide drafted
- ☒ Cost-of-vacancy calculator live and linked from sales calls

Re-scored and carried to the Engine Scorecard: 2.1.

Module 8 — Market Attraction

Get Found by the Right People

Module score: 2.4 · Underdeveloped

B · Assess — scored line by line

Element	Score	Biggest gap / note
Organic search (SEO)	3	Rank for job terms, invisible for employer terms
AI-generated search (AIO/GEO)	1	Asked ChatGPT for Greenville industrial staffing — we don't exist
Website content depth	2	Fixing in Modules 5 and 7
Social presence	2	Company page posts jobs; crickets
YouTube	1	A dormant channel with two videos from 2022
Paid search (Google Ads)	2	Ran ads once; couldn't tell if they worked (tracking!)
Paid social (LinkedIn ads)	1	
Retargeting	1	
OPS: trade publications	2	One article two years ago
OPS: conference and event speaking	2	Attend, never present
OPS: podcasts and media	1	
Community and group management	2	
Industry association involvement	4	Active members, strong relationships — undermonetized visibility
Strategic partnerships and referrals	4	Referrals are our #1 source, by accident not design
LinkedIn personal content	2	The owner posts occasionally; reps never
LinkedIn company page	3	
Email content to prospect list	2	Sporadic — becomes the Module 9 build
Direct mail cadence	2	One-offs, no trigger

Turn the score into a plan

The layer with the most critical gaps	Layer 1. When buyers look for what we do, they find competitors — or nobody. And AI answers don't know we exist.
The channel most likely to reach our ICP	The manufacturers' association — plant managers are literally in the room, and we're already members.
The one investment worth making in the next 90 days	Findability: the buyer-question content from Module 7, optimized for search AND AI answers.
Where the last 10 inbound conversations actually came from	6 referrals, 2 association contacts, 1 Google, 1 untraceable.
My Market Attraction average today	2.4 — Underdeveloped. Carried forward.

C · Build — the worksheets, filled

Builder 1 · Start from what already works

Channels behind our best 20 conversations	<i>8 referrals, 5 from the owner's trade-press column contacts, 3 LinkedIn, 4 untraceable.</i>
The two or three that recur most	<i>Referrals and the association/column relationships.</i>
What we will deepen first	<i>Protect and feed both before spending a dollar anywhere new: referral thank-you loop, quarterly partner check-ins, keep the column.</i>

Builder 2 · Layer 1, be findable

Top buyer search questions we must own	<i>'Light industrial staffing Greenville/Charlotte' · 'how to reduce temp no-shows' · 'staffing agency cost manufacturing' · 'best staffing firm for second shift'</i>
Where we are absent in AI answers today	<i>Everywhere. Tested four buyer-style questions in two AI tools: zero mentions.</i>
The content we will build to close the gap	<i>The Module 7 buyer-question content is the same fix — specific, honest answers published where search and AI can find them. One build, two engines.</i>

Builder 3 · Layer 2, choose your owned channels

Channels we will keep (and why)	<i>LinkedIn — the owner's posts reach plant managers (the company page mostly reaches recruiters, audit says). Email to the prospect list.</i>
Channels we will shut down	<i>The dormant YouTube channel. Two videos from 2022 is worse proof-of-life than none.</i>
Our primary owned channel, and who owns it	<i>The owner on LinkedIn, twice a week, problems-first content. Marketing coordinator preps drafts; owner makes them his.</i>

Builder 4 · Layer 2, borrow other people's stages

Where our ICP already gathers	<i>The regional manufacturers' association: quarterly magazine, annual conference, plant-manager roundtables.</i>
The one OPS play we will pursue this quarter	<i>A bylined article on cutting first-week turnover — with our real data.</i>
Our angle	<i>The data is the story, not the firm. Teach the fix; let the byline do the selling.</i>

Builder 5 · Layer 3, stay visible between buying cycles

LinkedIn cadence (who posts, how often)	<i>Owner twice a week; each recruiter one industry-relevant post or share a week.</i>
Email-to-prospects cadence	<i>The monthly market-insight email (built properly in Module 9).</i>
Direct-mail touch and its trigger	<i>One mailed piece at touch 6 of the IDM</i>

	<i>campaign — triggered by the sequence, not the calendar.</i>
--	--

Builder 6 · The channel map

Layer 1	<i>SEO + AIO on buyer questions — owner: marketing coordinator — measure: employer-page traffic + AI-answer spot checks monthly.</i>
Layer 2	<i>Association OPS play + owner's LinkedIn — owner: the owner — measure: conversations sourced.</i>
Layer 3	<i>Monthly email + campaign direct mail — owner: marketing coordinator — measure: replies and reactivated contacts.</i>
Channels we will deliberately NOT play	<i>YouTube, paid social, podcasts, Twitter/X. Not because they can't work — because we can't do them well AND sustain the three above.</i>
The one investment worth making in the next 90 days	<i>Findability content. Everything else amplifies it.</i>

D · Quick Wins

Quick win 1 — source audit	<i>Traced the last 20 real conversations (above). Referrals and relationships carry us; the plan now feeds them on purpose.</i>
Quick win 2 — AI answers test	<i>Asked ChatGPT and one other tool the four buyer questions. Zero Brogue. Competitors show up via review sites. Woke the whole leadership team up.</i>
Quick win 3 — social audit	<i>Company page reaches recruiters, owner's posts reach plant managers. Decision: principal-led content, page stays current, YouTube retired.</i>
Quick win 4 — association inventory	<i>Listed every stage the association offers: magazine, conference, roundtables, newsletter. We've used none in two years of paid membership.</i>

F · Done Check

- ☒ Source audit done; proven channels protected and fed first
- ☒ Findability plan tied to real buyer questions (search + AI)
- ☒ Owned channels chosen — and two channels deliberately killed
- ☒ One OPS play in motion (article pitched to the association magazine)
- ☒ Between-cycles cadence set with owners
- ☒ Channel map on one page, with a NOT-playing list

Re-scored and carried to the Engine Scorecard: 2.4.

Module 9 — Nurturing

Stay Relevant Until They Are Ready

Module score: 1.8 · Critical

B · Assess — scored line by line

Element	Score	Biggest gap / note
Regular branded content	1	<i>Last send: 11 months ago</i>
Content quality standard	1	<i>No standard — which is why sends died</i>
List hygiene and segmentation	2	<i>One undifferentiated list of ~2,600</i>
New-contact welcome sequence	2	<i>A single 'thanks' email</i>
Expertise-establishing content	2	
Coordination with sales campaign	1	<i>Marketing and sales touch the same people blind</i>
Industry-specific content	2	<i>The salary guide — our one bright spot</i>
Re-engagement for gone-quiet	1	
Proof content during evaluation	2	
Risk-reduction content	1	<i>Module 7 is building it</i>
Regular value-add communication (clients)	2	<i>AMs call; nothing systematic</i>
Proactive market insights	2	
Expansion nurture	1	<i>Carolina Cold Chain has two sites we've never mentioned</i>
Reactivation sequence	1	<i>1,900 past contacts, zero touches</i>
Ongoing inclusion in nurture	1	
Trigger-based reactivation	1	

Turn the score into a plan

The stage most completely without a program	<i>Past clients. 1,900 contacts, many of whom liked us, hearing nothing for years.</i>
The stage with most immediate revenue impact	<i>Same one. A past client who reactivates skips the whole trust-building funnel.</i>
The format we can sustain for 24 months	<i>One monthly email. Not a newsletter with five sections — one useful insight, written like a note.</i>
Last branded content sent / will it repeat	<i>11 months ago; no. That changes with a locked monthly send date and content batched a quarter ahead.</i>
Ten past clients who received nothing in 12 months	<i>Listed from the CRM in ten minutes. Two had job postings up THIS WEEK. That stung — and made the case better than any chapter could.</i>
My Nurturing average today	<i>1.8 — Critical. Our lane. Carried forward.</i>

C · Build — the worksheets, filled

Builder 1 · Map the five stages

Stranger	<i>Goal: get found once with something useful → Mover: findability content + the calculator.</i>
Prospect	<i>Goal: stay relevant until timing shifts → Mover: the monthly insight email + an occasional client result.</i>
Active Buyer	<i>Goal: make choosing us feel safe → Mover: proof content, the switching guide, fast answers.</i>
Client	<i>Goal: deepen and expand → Mover: monthly attendance reporting + proactive market insights + expansion asks.</i>
Past Client	<i>Goal: reopen the door → Mover: value-first reactivation at 12 months quiet; trigger-based when a posting appears.</i>

Builder 2 · The branded content engine

The one format we can sustain	<i>A monthly email. One insight, 300 words, written like a note to a smart client.</i>
The single most useful insight we will lead with	<i>The three early signals that predict a light-industrial turnover spike.</i>
Who produces it and on what schedule	<i>Marketing coordinator drafts from the owner's talking points; owner approves; sends first Tuesday, every month, no exceptions.</i>

Builder 3 · The universal foundation

How we will clean and segment the list	<i>Four segments: prospects, active buyers, clients, past clients. Dedupe, verify, tag — one week of coordinator time.</i>
Our content quality standard	<i>Every send must teach one useful thing and could stand alone with no pitch. If it fails, it doesn't go.</i>
What everyone receives regardless of stage	<i>The monthly insight email. Stage sequences layer on top.</i>

Builder 4 · The priority sequence

The stage we are building first, and why	<i>Past clients — highest-impact gap, and the automation platform makes it sustainable.</i>
The sequence (touches, content, trigger)	<i>Three-touch, value-first, over six weeks: (1) the insight piece, (2) a relevant client result, (3) a personal note from the former account lead. Trigger: 12 months of no contact.</i>
The cadence and who owns it	<i>Automation fires 1–2; the AM owns touch 3 personally. Marketing coordinator owns the system.</i>

Builder 5 · Automation plus the human touch

What automation will carry	<i>The monthly email, the 30-day welcome (five touches, each teaching one thing), the 90-day re-engagement.</i>
-----------------------------------	---

Where a human touch is required	<i>Tier 1 accounts always; anyone who engages twice in a week; touch 3 of reactivation.</i>
The rule that keeps automation and reps coordinated	<i>Two opens of a proof email = rep note, automatically. A rep working an account pauses its sequences — CRM flag.</i>

Builder 6 · Connect to sales, keep it alive

The signal that hands a contact to a rep	<i>Two engagements in seven days, a pricing-page visit, or any reply.</i>
The owner and the guardrail	<i>Marketing coordinator owns it; content batched a quarter ahead so a busy month can't break the streak.</i>
Our quarterly check	<i>Read three months of sends as a subscriber: still useful? still stage-relevant? Kill what isn't.</i>

D · Quick Wins

My format, my first topic, my send date	<i>Monthly email · 'The three early signals of a turnover spike' · first Tuesday of next month. Drafted, approved, loaded. The streak starts there.</i>
--	---

F · Done Check

- ☒ Five stages mapped with a goal and mover each
- ☒ Content engine defined and the first issue written
- ☒ List cleaned into four segments
- ☒ Past-client reactivation sequence built and firing
- ☒ Automation/human split written and in the CRM
- ☒ Handoff signal live; guardrails set

Re-scored and carried to the Engine Scorecard: 1.8.

Module 10 — Automation

Make Consistency Possible at Scale

Module score: 2.9 · Underdeveloped

B · Assess — scored line by line

Element	Score	Biggest gap / note
New-contact welcome sequence	2	One email, not a sequence
Nurture follow-up	2	
Segmentation by relationship stage	2	Building in Module 9
Re-engagement trigger (90-day)	1	
Intent-based content delivery	2	
List hygiene automation	2	
Speed-to-response (web forms)	3	Auto-ack yes; the human call averaged 9 hours
CRM sequence step reminders	3	Capable, not configured
Email template loading by step	3	
Website intent alerts to sales	2	
High-engagement signal alerts	2	
Proposal follow-up sequence	2	Manual, so it depends on memory
Dormant opportunity re-engagement	1	The 11-of-28 problem from Module 6
Past-client reactivation trigger	1	
Sequence brief template exists	4	Written this quarter
Brand voice guidelines documented	4	The voice one-pager
Content review cadence	3	

Turn the score into a plan

The workflow costing the most pipeline	Web leads waiting hours for a human, and stalled opportunities nobody re-touches.
The highest-ROI automation to build in 30 days	Speed-to-response on every form. The book is right — this one first.
The sequence needing a content review	The old 'thanks for reaching out' auto-reply reads like a fax machine wrote it. Rewritten with the what-happens-next promise.
The Foundation element needed before new automation	The voice one-pager travels with every sequence brief — done, Module 4.
My Automation average today	2.9 — Underdeveloped. Carried forward.

C · Build — the worksheets, filled

Builder 1 · Map trigger to action

New contact enters database	Welcome sequence fires; no human needed.
Web form completed	Instant acknowledgment + rep alert; call within one business hour.
Key page visited (pricing, case study)	Rep alert; rep personalizes the outreach.

Proposal sent	<i>Follow-up drafts at day 3, 7, 14; rep reviews each before send.</i>
90 days pipeline inactivity	<i>Dormant re-engagement sequence + rep notification.</i>
12 months client silence	<i>Past-client reactivation (Module 9's sequence).</i>

Builder 2 · Speed-to-response

The forms and capture points covered	<i>Request-talent form, cost-analysis booking, calculator follow-up, contact form.</i>
The instant acknowledgment	<i>Named, human-sounding, with what-happens-next: 'A recruiter will call you within one business hour. Here's what we'll ask...'</i>
The rep alert and target human-response time	<i>Immediate alert to the on-call AM (rotating weekly); one business hour, tested weekly with a dummy submission.</i>

Builder 3 · Core marketing sequences

Welcome sequence	<i>Five touches over 30 days, each teaching one useful thing; last touch offers the cost analysis.</i>
90-day re-engagement	<i>Three-touch, value-first, at 90 days of silence.</i>
6-month past-client reactivation	<i>Two automated touches, then a personal note from the former account lead.</i>

Builder 4 · Sales sequences

CRM step reminders and templates	<i>The 14-touch campaign fully loaded: reminders fire per step, templates pre-loaded, reps edit not write.</i>
Proposal follow-up cadence	<i>Day 3, 7, 14 — drafts auto-generate, the rep reviews each. Fidelity automated; judgment not.</i>
Dormant-opportunity trigger	<i>90 days of inactivity → re-engagement sequence + the deal flagged in Friday review.</i>

Builder 5 · Route intent to a human

The intent signals worth a human response	<i>Pricing page twice in a day; case study + switching guide same visit; any reply to any sequence.</i>
Where the alert goes	<i>On-call AM, plus the account owner if one exists.</i>
What the rep does when it fires	<i>Reach out within the hour referencing the specific question that page raises — not 'just checking in.'</i>

Builder 6 · Govern the system

Content review cadence and owner	<i>Every sequence has an owner and an annual review date; quarterly spot-read by the</i>
---	--

	<i>marketing coordinator.</i>
Where brand-voice guidance lives	<i>The voice one-pager, attached to every sequence brief.</i>
How automation stays pointed at a real Foundation	<i>No sequence gets built without a completed sequence brief — the five questions, every time.</i>

D • Quick Wins

My sequence brief (the dormant re-engagement)	<i>WHO: opportunities stalled 90+ days. BELIEF: we're still the ones who fix downtime — no guilt trip. PROOF: Cornerstone result. VOICE: direct, warm, no 'just circling back.' NEXT STEP: a fresh 15-minute read on their shift math. Three touches over three weeks.</i>
--	---

F • Done Check

- ☒ Trigger map covers marketing and sales sides
- ☒ Speed-to-response live on all four capture points, tested weekly
- ☒ Three marketing sequences built and running
- ☒ Sales sequences loaded in the CRM
- ☒ Intent routing firing to the on-call AM
- ☒ Governance: owners, review dates, voice one-pager attached

Re-scored and carried to the Engine Scorecard: 2.9.

Module 11 — AI-Amplified Judgment

Make the Engine Smarter

Module score: Level 1 → building to Level 2 · Foundation gate: PASSED (Module 4 complete)

B · Assess — scored line by line

Element	Score	Biggest gap / note
Foundation check (the gate)	PASS	<i>ICP, positioning, differentiators, voice — documented in Module 4</i>
Level today	Level 1	<i>Individual assistance: two reps and the coordinator use AI tools personally, no shared brief, no standards</i>
Level in 90 days	Level 2	<i>Specialized support: shared brief, defined use cases, human review</i>

Turn the score into a plan

My AI integration level today	<i>Level 1 — Individual Assistance. Carried forward.</i>
--------------------------------------	--

C · Build — the worksheets, filled

Builder 1 · The AI brief

Context	<i>Broque Industrial Staffing: light-industrial staffing, Southeast, mid-size manufacturers 200–1,000 employees. We sell workforce stability, not resumes. Buyers: plant managers, ops directors, HR managers.</i>
Audience	<i>Operations people under production pressure. They care about downtime, no-shows, and overtime — not staffing-industry jargon.</i>
Outcome	<i>Believe an open seat costs more than a better vendor; take the workforce cost analysis.</i>
Voice	<i>Direct, warm, confident, plain language. Never: synergy, world-class, cutting-edge, 'just checking in.'</i>
Standards	<i>Every claim needs a proof point. A human reviews anything client-facing before it sends.</i>

Builder 2 · Set the boundaries

Decisions AI may recommend	<i>Which accounts to prioritize; which content topics to write; send-time optimization.</i>
Decisions AI may make within limits	<i>Draft and schedule nurture emails inside an approved template and sequence.</i>
Decisions that must stay human	<i>Rate changes, candidate rejections, anything legal, anything touching an employee.</i>
Company knowledge AI may use / off-limits	<i>May use: public content, the Foundation one-</i>

	<i>pager, anonymized results. Off-limits: client contracts, rates, candidate PII, payroll.</i>
--	--

Builder 3 · First workflows

The engine component where AI helps most now	<i>Market Attraction: drafting the buyer-question content — long-form answers we edit for voice and facts.</i>
The repetitive workflow saving the most time	<i>Call summaries into the CRM — reps talk, AI structures, rep confirms.</i>
Why the Foundation under each is solid	<i>Both have clear inputs and a documented voice; the briefs practically write themselves.</i>

Builder 4 · Assistance vs. agentic

Where AI assists (human-led) today	<i>Content drafts, call summaries, campaign touch drafts, account research.</i>
Where AI could act with bounded autonomy	<i>Sending the standard welcome sequence on its own.</i>
The rule for graduating a task	<i>It has run cleanly under human review for 30 days.</i>

Builder 5 · Operating rhythm

When we review AI output and refine briefs	<i>Monthly: a sample of output against the brief.</i>
When we review governance and boundaries	<i>Quarterly, with the off-limits data list.</i>
Who owns the rhythm	<i>Marketing coordinator owns cadence; the owner reviews boundaries.</i>

Builder 6 · The 90-day plan

Component with most immediate AI impact	<i>Market Attraction content.</i>
Workflow saving most time	<i>CRM call summaries.</i>
Decision AI could recommend but not make	<i>Account prioritization.</i>
Decision that must remain human	<i>Anything involving rates, rejections, or people's jobs.</i>
Foundation element to document before briefing AI	<i>Done — the voice one-pager and Foundation sheet.</i>
Person responsible for the brief template	<i>Marketing coordinator.</i>
The 30-day milestone	<i>Ten buyer-question drafts produced with the brief, edited and published, each in under an hour of human time.</i>

D · Quick Wins

My three highest-value AI uses	<i>1) Buyer-question content drafts. 2) Call summaries into CRM. 3) Turning one insight into channel-specific versions (email, LinkedIn, sales talking points).</i>
My first brief	<i>Written (Builder 1) and stapled to the sequence brief from Module 10. Same brief, every tool.</i>

F · Done Check

- ☑ One reusable AI brief exists, filled, with an owner
- ☑ Boundaries sorted into three buckets and written down
- ☑ Two starting workflows chosen with solid Foundations under them
- ☑ Assistance/agentic line drawn with a graduation rule
- ☑ Review rhythm on the calendar
- ☑ 90-day plan complete, milestone set

Re-scored and carried to the Engine Scorecard: Level 1 → building to Level 2.

Module 12 — Run the Loop

Target, Reach, Engage, Convert, Repeat

Module score: 2.3 · Underdeveloped

B · Assess — scored line by line

Element	Score	Biggest gap / note
Strategic targeting	3	<i>The Q3 thesis is our first-ever written one</i>
Systematic outreach	2	<i>Campaign exists; 'useful weekly reason' is new muscle</i>
Multi-channel engagement	2	<i>Channels say different things in the same week</i>
Optimized conversion	2	<i>11 of 28 opps had no next step — fixed, watching</i>
Long-term perspective	3	<i>We quit tactics at week 6 historically; the thesis is the antidote</i>
Daily sales huddle	4	<i>Solid for a year</i>
Weekly marketing sprint	2	<i>New</i>
Monthly sales and marketing meet-up	2	<i>Existed, drifted, restarting with a required decision</i>
Monthly learning meeting	1	<i>Never had one</i>
Quarterly strategic reset	2	<i>First one scheduled</i>
Shared visibility	2	
Decisions, not explanations	2	<i>Meetings have been status theater</i>

Turn the score into a plan

My Run-the-Loop average today	<i>2.3 — Underdeveloped. Carried forward.</i>
--------------------------------------	---

C · Build — the worksheets, filled

Worksheet 1 · Quarterly thesis

Target segment	<i>Food & beverage manufacturers, 200–1,000 employees, within 90 minutes of Greenville.</i>
Account list or source	<i>Tier-1 list plus the state manufacturers directory.</i>
Buyer roles we are targeting	<i>Plant manager, HR manager, operations director.</i>
Why this audience now (the market thesis)	<i>Two plant expansions announced locally and peak-season absenteeism ahead: Q3 downtime is hiring-driven, and plants that pre-build a vetted second-shift pool avoid it.</i>
Primary pain or problem	<i>Second-shift no-shows causing unplanned downtime.</i>
Offers and conversion paths	<i>Free workforce cost analysis (primary); cost-of-vacancy calculator (secondary).</i>
Sales enablement campaign	<i>The 14-touch IDM campaign from Module 6.</i>
Buyer enablement content needed	<i>Publish the show-up-rate methodology page.</i>
Market attraction efforts	<i>Recruiter-led LinkedIn posts plus one manufacturing-association article.</i>

What needs to be automated	Speed-to-response on every web form.
----------------------------	--------------------------------------

Worksheet 2 · Weekly outreach plan (week one)

Primary weekly theme	The second-shift no-show problem.
Useful reason to reach out (specific)	A new client story: Cornerstone Foods cut downtime with a pre-vetted second-shift pool.
Sales touchpoints planned	40 calls and 25 personalized LinkedIn notes to tier-1 plant managers.
Marketing touchpoints planned	One email to 400 plant contacts; one LinkedIn post per recruiter.
Content or proof asset available	The Cornerstone Foods case study.
Primary call to action	Book a free workforce cost analysis.
Secondary call to action	Try the cost-of-vacancy calculator.
Follow-up sequence in place?	Yes — five touches over two weeks.

Worksheet 3 · Monthly channel coordination

Sales calls	Role: open doors · Angle: your open seats are costing you the expansion · Asset: case study · Owner: sales manager · CTA: cost analysis
Email	Role: air cover · Angle: the three turnover signals · Asset: insight email · Owner: coordinator · CTA: calculator
LinkedIn	Role: credibility · Angle: same story, owner's voice · Asset: post series · Owner: the owner · CTA: profile → site
Direct mail	Role: pattern interrupt at touch 6 · Asset: open-seat cost card · Owner: coordinator
Website / landing	Role: convert · Asset: analysis booking page · Owner: coordinator · CTA: book it
Paid / retargeting	Not this quarter — deliberately.
Newsletter / nurture	Role: stay warm · monthly insight email · Owner: coordinator
Events / OPS	Role: authority · association article · Owner: the owner

Worksheet 4 · Conversion-path review

Where are we sending people?	The workforce-cost-analysis booking page.
What action do we want?	Book the analysis.
Low-friction action available?	Yes — the calculator, no email wall.
High-intent action available?	Yes — direct calendar booking and click-to-call.
Who gets notified on conversion?	On-call AM immediately; account owner if one exists.
What happens immediately after?	Instant acknowledgment with what-happens-next; human call within one business hour.

Worksheet 5 · Friday feedback loop (week three)

What did prospects respond to?	The Cornerstone case study — four replies.
What did they ignore?	The webinar invite.

What objections surfaced?	<i>'We already have a vendor list.'</i>
Which buyer roles engaged?	<i>HR managers more than plant managers.</i>
Which accounts showed intent?	<i>Three revisited the pricing page.</i>
Which content did sales need but not have?	<i>A one-page temp-to-hire vs. direct-hire comparison.</i>
Where did follow-up break down?	<i>Tuesday's web leads waited nine hours.</i>
What changes next week?	<i>Route web leads to the on-call AM; lead with risk, not cost.</i>

Worksheet 6 · Monthly meet-up output

Best-performing target segment	<i>Food & bev plants 300–700 heads.</i>
Weakest-performing segment	<i>Distribution centers — wrong pain this season; pausing outreach to them.</i>
Best-performing message or theme	<i>Second-shift no-shows (risk framing beat cost framing 3:1 on replies).</i>
Best-performing channel	<i>Sales calls warmed by the email.</i>
Strongest conversion path	<i>Case study → analysis booking.</i>
Biggest leak in the funnel	<i>First call → proposal (Module 13 confirms).</i>
Content or tool to build next month	<i>The temp-to-hire vs. direct-hire one-pager.</i>
Process to fix next month	<i>Web-lead routing — done mid-month, verifying it held.</i>
One decision this meeting must produce	<i>Pause distribution-center outreach until Q4; double down on food & bev. Decided.</i>

D · Quick Wins

The Friday loop review — four weeks in	<i>Week 1 answers were mush. Week 4: crisp, and the meeting produced a decision in 25 minutes. The book was right about the fourth Friday.</i>
---	--

F · Done Check

- ☒ A quarterly thesis exists and guides the weekly plan
- ☒ Each week has one theme and a specific useful reason
- ☒ Channels coordinated around one message, with owners
- ☒ Every touch has a defined next step before it goes out
- ☒ The Friday review produces a decision
- ☒ The monthly meet-up produced one real decision
- ☒ The cadence held for a full month, including a busy one

Re-scored and carried to the Engine Scorecard: 2.3.

Module 13 — Measure and Improve

Budget, Track, Learn, Fix

Module score: 2.6 · Underdeveloped

B · Assess — scored line by line

Element	Score	Biggest gap / note
Budget set by goal	3	First goal-based budget just built (below)
The one number	2	Leadership watched revenue only — a lagging story
Analytics in use	2	Collected, rarely opened
Visibility: website visitors	3	
Engagement: engaged accounts	2	Never counted before this quarter
Conversion: opportunities	3	
Pipeline by stage	3	Tracked; not reviewed weekly until now
Revenue	4	
Decision rules	1	Numbers without rules were trivia
Leak finding	2	
The learning loop	2	Starting this month

Turn the score into a plan

My Measure-and-Improve average today	2.6 — Underdeveloped. Carried forward.
--------------------------------------	--

C · Build — the worksheets, filled

Worksheet 1 · Budget reality check

Growth goal (total gross margin growth)	\$400,000 in new gross margin this year.
New clients needed	Six, at roughly \$50,000 margin each.
Current-client expansion targeted	\$100,000 — starting with Carolina Cold Chain's two untouched sites.
Maximum marketing investment	\$120,000 — about 30% of the incremental margin it should produce (a 3.3x floor).
Quarterly engine priorities: build, run, improve	Build: IDM campaign + buyer content. Run: nurture engine + the loop. Improve: website conversion.
The one investment that would most improve results	Marketing automation with speed-to-response.

Worksheet 2 · Five numbers on the scorecard (June)

Website visitors (visibility)	3,800 · last month 3,650 · threshold: below 3,400
Marketing-sourced or influenced opportunities	9 · last month 7 · threshold: below 6
Engaged target accounts	62 · last month 55 · threshold: below 50
Pipeline counts by stage	28 open, 8 in proposal · threshold: below 20 open
New and expansion revenue (prior quarter)	\$310,000 · threshold: below \$250,000

Worksheet 3 · Decision rules

Website visitors drops below threshold	<i>Two consecutive months below 3,400 → audit top ten organic pages + posting cadence within one week. Owner: coordinator. Third month down → meet-up decides SEO fix vs. paid bridge.</i>
Opportunities miss target	<i>Below 6 in a month → source audit within a week: which channel dried up. Owner: sales manager.</i>
Engaged accounts drops below threshold	<i>Below 50 → review the weekly 'useful reason' quality; is the theme stale? Owner: coordinator + sales manager together.</i>
Pipeline advancing too slowly	<i>Any deal 30 days without a next step → dormant sequence + named in Friday review. Owner: sales manager.</i>
Revenue falls below target	<i>Below \$250K/quarter → the meet-up becomes a leak-finding session before any spending changes. Owner: the owner.</i>

Worksheet 4 · Leak finder (June)

Marketing process (campaign to lead)	<i>Working — email replies healthy.</i>
Sales process (outreach to close)	<i>LEAK: 22 first calls in 60 days produced four proposals. No defined next step at the end of the first call.</i>
Employer conversion (lead to meeting)	<i>Improved since the 1-hour response went in.</i>
Trust (buyer confidence or proof)	<i>Improving as Module 7 content ships.</i>
The biggest leak, closest to revenue	<i>First call → proposal.</i>
The one fix to make this month	<i>The first-call framework from Module 6 plus the five-touch follow-up. Owner: sales manager. Re-check: 30 days.</i>

Worksheet 5 · Monthly learning loop

What worked?	<i>Risk framing; case-study touches; the 1-hour response promise (two prospects mentioned it).</i>
What did not?	<i>The webinar invite; distribution-center outreach.</i>
What did sales hear that marketing needs to know?	<i>"We have a vendor list" is the top objection — needs a content answer, not just a rebuttal.</i>
What did marketing see that sales needs to know?	<i>Three accounts hit the pricing page twice; two of them aren't being called.</i>
What should we stop?	<i>The webinar experiment; DC outreach until Q4.</i>
What should we do more of?	<i>Client-story touches; owner's LinkedIn posts (best organic reach we have).</i>
What should we test next month?	<i>The switching guide as a campaign asset for vendor-list objectors.</i>

D · Quick Wins

Our starting sentence	<i>'Last quarter we invested \$28,000 in the engine and it produced 27 opportunities, 5 new clients, and \$310,000 in gross margin.' First time we've ever been able to say a sentence like that.</i>
The metric we are removing, and what replaces it	<i>Removing: social impressions (vanity, decided nothing). Replacing with: engaged target accounts.</i>

F • Done Check

- ☒ Budget tied to a growth goal, not last year's habit
- ☒ Five numbers on one scorecard, each with a threshold
- ☒ A decision rule written for every number
- ☒ This month's biggest leak found and the fix assigned
- ☒ The monthly learning loop held — and produced stop/start/test decisions

Re-scored and carried to the Engine Scorecard: 2.6.

Module 14 — Your 90-Day Plan

One Thing, Then the Next

Module score: 2.5 overall · Underdeveloped — and moving

B · Assess — scored line by line

Element	Score	Biggest gap / note
Foundation (Module 4)	3.4	
Website (Module 5)	2.6	
Sales Enablement (Module 6)	3.1	
Buyer Enablement (Module 7)	2.1	
Market Attraction (Module 8)	2.4	
Nurturing (Module 9)	1.8	<i>Lowest — our lane</i>
Automation (Module 10)	2.9	
AI-Amplified Judgment (Module 11)	2.0	<i>Level 1, building to 2</i>
Run the Loop (Module 12)	2.3	
Measure and Improve (Module 13)	2.6	
Overall engine readiness (average)	2.5	<i>Underdeveloped: pieces exist, the work is connecting them</i>

Turn the score into a plan

Simple assessment — the gap analysis	<i>Exists-and-working: Foundation, mostly. Exists-but-inconsistent: website, sales process, automation. Barely exists: buyer enablement, nurturing, AI. The one item that would most improve results in 90 days: a nurture engine over our 1,900 quiet contacts.</i>
---	--

C · Build — the worksheets, filled

Builder 1 · The two universal first steps

Step 1: Refresh the Foundation (date and owner)	<i>Thursday this week, 8 a.m.–noon, the owner + both branch leads. Invites sent.</i>
Step 2: Website CRO review (date and owner)	<i>Next Wednesday, marketing coordinator + the owner; fix list due Friday.</i>

Builder 2 · Choose your lane

Our lowest-scoring lane from the scorecard	<i>Nurturing, 1.8 (Buyer Enablement 2.1 close behind).</i>
The starting option we chose (1, 2, or 3)	<i>Option 3: Nurturing — the always-on path. 1,900 past contacts and former clients have gone quiet, and the automation platform we budgeted makes the sends sustainable.</i>
The one component we will build this quarter	<i>The nurture engine: monthly email, welcome sequence, past-client reactivation.</i>
Why it matters most right now	<i>It's the cheapest pipeline we own, and two past clients had postings up this week that we</i>

	<i>learned about by accident.</i>
--	-----------------------------------

Builder 3 · Sequence the 90 days

Days 1–30: Build	<i>Foundation one-pager; two worst CRO barriers fixed; list segmented into four stages; newsletter format locked; welcome + reactivation sequences drafted. Milestone: first branded send shipped.</i>
Days 31–60: Manage	<i>Monthly send on schedule; Friday loop running; 90-day re-engagement live; reactivation wave one out. Milestone: two sends out, replies tracked.</i>
Days 61–90: Implement, learn, improve	<i>Read the reply data; drop the weakest topic; launch past-client win-back wave two. Milestone: three reactivated conversations, one requalified opportunity.</i>

Builder 4 · The component checklist (this quarter only)

Foundation	☒ One-page positioning + differentiators (done at the Thursday session). Everything else: later cycles.
Website Optimization	☒ Five-second test ☒ CRO audit ☒ Fix the two worst barriers. Full rebuild: next cycle.
Sales Enablement	☒ 14-touch campaign in the CRM ☒ first-call framework. Templates polish: ongoing.
Buyer Enablement	☒ Working With Us page ☒ review push. Full curriculum: next quarter (it's the runner-up lane).
Market Attraction	☒ Association article ☒ owner's LinkedIn cadence. Paid: deliberately not this year.
Nurturing (THE LANE)	☒ All of it: segments, monthly email, welcome, reactivation, guardrails.
Automation	☒ Speed-to-response ☒ the three marketing sequences ☒ dormant trigger.
AI	☒ The brief ☒ two starting workflows. Agentic anything: after 30 clean days.

Builder 5 · Keep it running

Our operating cadence	<i>Daily huddle · weekly sprint · Friday loop · monthly meet-up with one required decision · quarterly reset.</i>
The one number we report and act on	<i>Marketing-sourced opportunities per month (threshold 6).</i>
Who owns the loop and the plan	<i>The owner owns the loop; the marketing coordinator owns the plan's calendar; the sales manager owns pipeline discipline.</i>

D · Quick Wins

What I am going to do this week	<i>Block Thursday, 8 a.m. to noon, for the</i>
--	--

	<i>Foundation refresh with both office leads. The calendar invites go out today.</i>
--	--

F • Done Check

- ☒ Whole-engine scorecard filled: 2.5 overall
- ☒ Two universal first steps scheduled with owners
- ☒ One lane chosen (Option 3, Nurturing) — not all of them
- ☒ 90 days sequenced in three phases with milestones
- ☒ Component checklist scoped to this quarter only
- ☒ Cadence, one number, and owners set
- ☒ The One Thing is on the calendar

Re-scored and carried to the Engine Scorecard: 2.5 overall.

What Brogue Does Next

Day 90: re-run the whole-engine scorecard, pick the next lane (Buyer Enablement is the runner-up), and plan the next 90. The engine is never finished. It compounds.

Now write yours.

Every tool referenced in these pages lives at growthenginebook.com/workbook — the address printed in your copy of the book.